arXiv:1411.7470v1 [math.DG] 27 Nov 2014

TANGENTIALLY BIHARMONIC LAGRANGIAN H-UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN COMPLEX SPACE FORMS

TORU SASAHARA

Abstract. The notion of Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds was introduced by B. Y. Chen in 1997, and these submanifolds have appeared in several important problems in the study of Lagrangian submanifolds from the Riemannian geometric point of view. Recently, the author introduced the notion of tangentially biharmonic submanifolds, which are defined as submanifolds such that the bitension field of the inclusion map has vanishing tangential component. The normal bundle of a round hypersphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be immersed as a tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Motivated by this fact, we classify tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms.

1. Introduction

A biharmonic map is defined as a critical point of the bienergy for all variations. A map is biharmonic if and only if its bitension field vanishes. A submanifold is called a biharmonic submanifold if its inclusion map is a biharmonic map with respect to the induced metric. Minimal submanifolds are biharmonic. Substantial progress has been made toward classifying non-minimal biharmonic submanifolds in manifolds with special metric properties (e.g., real space forms, complex space forms, Sasakian space forms, conformally flat spaces, etc.) since 2000.

On the other hand, the author [18] introduced the notion of *tangentially biharmonic submanifold* as an extension of the notion of biharmonic submanifold, which is a submanifold such that the bienergy of the inclusion map has vanishing tangential part. We would like to mention that this notion coincides with the notion of *biconservative submanifold* introduced by Caddeo et al. in [1].

It is known that the normal bundle of a submanifold in Euclidean n-space $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be immersed as a Lagrangian submanifold in complex Euclidean n-space $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [11]). Harvey and Lawson [11] showed that the normal bundle $T^\perp M^2$ of a surface M^2 in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is minimal in $\mathbb{C}^3$ if and only if M^2 is minimal. As an extension of this result, it was proved in [18] that $T^\perp M^2$ is a tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^3$ if and only if M^2 is either minimal, a part of a round sphere, or a part of a circular cylinder. In two cases except when M^2 is minimal, $T^\perp M^2$ is (non-biharmonic) tangentially biharmonic. In particular, it should be noted that, if M^2 is a part of a round sphere, then $T^\perp M^2$ is a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold introduced by B. Y. Chen in [4]. Similar properties hold for a round hypersphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n > 3$. We remark in passing that Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds can be regarded as the simplest Lagrangian submanifolds next to the totally geodesic ones, and these submanifolds appear in several important problems in the study of Lagrangian submanifolds from the Riemannian geometric point of view.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C42; Secondary: 53B25.

Key words and phrases. tangentially biharmonic submanifolds, Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds.

Motivated by the above mentioned facts, this paper classifies tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms.

2. Preliminaries

Let M^n be an n-dimensional submanifold of a Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}$. Let us denote by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connections on M^n and $\tilde{M}$, respectively. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X,Y), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi &= -A_\xi X + D_X \xi \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for tangent vector fields X, Y and normal vector field ξ, where h, A and D are the second fundamental form, the shape operator and the normal connection. The second fundamental form h and the shape operator A are related by

$$\langle A_\xi X, Y\rangle = \langle h(X,Y), \xi\rangle . \tag{2.2}$$

The mean curvature vector field H is defined by $H = (1/n)\mathrm{trace}h$. The function $|H|$ is called the *mean curvature*. If it vanishes identically, then M^n is called a *minimal submanifold*. In particular, if h vanishes identically, then M^n is called a *totally geodesic submanifold*.

Let $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ be a complex space form of complex dimension n and constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4ϵ. The curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ of $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X,Y)Z = \epsilon\{&\langle Y,Z\rangle X - \langle X,Z\rangle Y + \langle Z, JY\rangle JX \\ &- \langle Z, JX\rangle JY + 2\langle X, JY\rangle JZ\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ is the inner product and J is the complex structure of $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$.

Any complete and simply connected complex space form $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ is holomorphically isometric to the complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^n$, the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n(4\epsilon)$ or the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n(4\epsilon)$ according as $\epsilon = 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ or $\epsilon < 0$. A submanifold M^n of $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ is called *Lagrangian* if $\langle X, JY\rangle = 0$ for all tangent vector fields X and Y of M^n.

Let M^n be a Lagrangian submanifold of $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$. Since $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$ holds, it follows from (2.1) that M^n satisfies

$$D_X JY = J(\nabla_X Y). \tag{2.3}$$

Denote by R and R^D the Riemann curvature tensor of ∇ and D respectively. Then the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by

$$\langle R(X,Y)Z, W\rangle = \epsilon(\langle X,W\rangle\langle Y,Z\rangle - \langle X,Z\rangle\langle Y,W\rangle) + \langle [A_{JZ}, A_{JW}](X), Y\rangle , \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y,Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X,Z), \tag{2.5}$$

where X, Y, Z, W are vectors tangent to M^n, and $\bar{\nabla}h$ is defined by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y,Z) = D_X h(Y,Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

We note that for Lagrangian submanifolds the equation (2.5) of Codazzi coincides with the equation of Ricci.

3. Tangentially biharmonic submanifolds

Let $f : (M^n, g) \to N$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds. The *tension field* $\tau(f)$ of f is a section of the induced vector bundle f^*TN defined by

$$\tau(f) := \mathrm{tr}(\nabla^f df) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{\nabla^f_{e_i} df(e_i) - df(\nabla_{e_i} e_i)\},$$

where ∇^f, ∇ and $\{e_i\}$ denote the induced connection, the connection of M^n and a local orthonormal basis of M^n, respectively. If f is an isometric immersion, we have

$$\tau(f) = nH. \tag{3.1}$$

The bienergy $E_2(f)$ of f over compact domain $\Omega \subset M^n$ is defined by

$$E_2(f) = \int_\Omega |\tau(f)|^2 dv_g,$$

where dv_g is the volume form of M^n (see [9]). If f is a critical point of E_2 with respect to compactly supported variations, then f is called a *biharmonic map* (or 2-*harmonic map*). Jiang [12] proved that f is biharmonic if and only if its bitension field defined by

$$\tau_2(f) := -\Delta_f \tau(f) + \mathrm{trace}_g R^N(\tau(f), df)df \tag{3.2}$$

vanishes identically, where $\Delta_f = -\mathrm{trace}_g(\nabla^f\nabla^f - \nabla^f_\nabla)$ and R^N is the curvature tensor of N, which is given by

$$R^N(X,Y)Z = [\nabla^N_X, \nabla^N_Y]Z - \nabla^N_{[X,Y]}Z$$

for the Levi-Civita connection ∇^N of N.

If f is an isometric immersion, then M^n is called a *biharmonic submanifold* in N. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that any minimal submanifold is biharmonic. However, the converse is not true in general.

In [18], the notion of tangentially biharmonicity, which is weaker than biharmonicity for submanifolds, was introduced as follows:

Definition 3.1. Let $f : M \to N$ be an isometric immersion. Then M is called a *tangentially biharmonic submanifold* in N if it satisfies

$$\{\tau_2(f)\}^\top = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\{\cdot\}^\top$ denotes the tangential part of $\{\cdot\}$.

By Proposition 2.1 in [10], (3.1) and (3.3), we have

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : M \to N$ be an isometric immersion. Then M is a tangentially biharmonic submanifold in N if and only if f satisfies*

$$4\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{D_{e_i}(\tau(f))} e_i + \mathrm{grad}|\tau(f)|^2 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $x : M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an isometric immersion. The normal bundle $T^\perp M^{n-1}$ of M^{n-1} is naturally immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by the immersion $f(\xi_x) := (x, \xi_x)$, which is expressed as

$$f(x, s) = (x, sN) \tag{3.5}$$

for the unit normal vector field N along x. We equip $T^\perp M^{n-1}$ with the metric induced by f. If we define the complex structure J on $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by $J(X, Y) := (-Y, X)$, then $T^\perp M^{n-1}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [11, III.3.C]). It

was proved in [18] that $T^\perp M^2$ is a tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^3$ if and only if M^2 is either minimal, a part of a round sphere or a part of a circular cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 3.1. The equation (3.4) is equivalent to the condition that a certain stress-energy tensor S_2 for $\tau_2(f)$ is divergence-free. For a detailed definition of S_2, see [1]. Caddeo et al. [1] called these submanifolds satisfying such a condition *biconservative* submanifolds, and moreover they have classified biconservative surfaces in 3-dimensional real space forms. Also, in order to prove the non-existence of non-minimal biharmonic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^4$, Hasanis and Vlachos [16] classified hypersurfaces satisfying (3.4). They called such hypersurfaces *H-hypersurfaces*.

Remark 3.2. The first variation formula of E_2 obtained in [4] implies that an isometric immersion $f : M \to N$ is tangentially biharmonic if and only if it is a critical point of E_2 with respect to all *tangential variations* with compact support. Here, a tangential variation means a variation f_t through $f = f_0$ such that the variational vector field $V = df_t/dt|_{t=0}$ is tangent to $f(M)$.

Remark 3.3. A submanifold satisfying $\{\tau_2(f)\}^\perp = 0$ is called a *biminimal* submanifold. Here, $\{\cdot\}^\perp$ denotes the normal part of $\{\cdot\}$ (see [14]).

4. Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds

There exist no totally umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ with $n \geq 2$ other than the totally geodesic ones (cf. [7]). In view of this fact, Chen [4] introduced the concept of *Lagrangian H-umbilical* submanifolds as the simplest Lagrangian submanifolds next to totally geodesic ones in complex space forms as follows.

Definition 4.1. A Lagrangian submanifold M^n in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ is called *Lagrangian H-umbilical* if its second fundamental form takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &h(e_1, e_1) = \lambda Je_1, \quad h(e_2, e_2) = \cdots = h(e_n, e_n) = \mu Je_1, \\ &h(e_1, e_j) = \mu Je_j, \quad h(e_j, e_k) = 0, \quad j \neq k, \quad j, k = 2, \ldots, n \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for suitable functions λ and μ with respect to some suitable orthonormal frame field $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. If $\lambda = r\mu$ for some constant r, then M^n is said to be of ratio r.

If M^n is a non-minimal Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold satisfying (4.1), then e_1 is parallel to H. Non-minimal Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds are the simplest Lagrangian submanifolds which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} A_H JH &= \lambda JH, \\ A_H X &= \mu X, \quad X \perp JH. \end{aligned}$$

for some functions λ and μ.

The class of Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds includes the important submanifolds. For example, non-minimal twistor holomorphic Lagrangian surfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (cf. [2]) and the Whitney's sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (cf. [3]) are Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of ratio $r = 3$. Due to [6], a 5-dimensional Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^5(4\epsilon)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\delta(2,2) \leq (25/4)|H|^2 + 8\epsilon, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\delta(2,2)$ is a δ-invariant introduced by B.-Y. Chen. A 5-dimensional Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio 4 in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^5(4\epsilon)$ satisfies the equality case of (4.2) at any point (see [8]).

We need the following definitions to represent Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms.

Definition 4.2. Let $S^{2n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a unit $(2n+1)$-sphere centered at the origin. Let $\mathbb{C}_1^{n+1}$ be the complex $(n+1)$-space endowed with the complex coordinate $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}\}$, whose inner product is given by $\langle (z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}), (w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}) \rangle = \mathrm{Re}(-z_1\bar{w}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} z_i\bar{w}_i)$. We put $H_1^{2n+1}(-1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_1^{n+1} : \langle z, z \rangle = -1\}$. A curve $z = z(s)$ in $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ or in $H_1^3(-1) \subset \mathbb{C}_1^2$ is called a *Legendre curve* if it satisfies $\langle z'(s), iz(s) \rangle = 0$ identically.

Definition 4.3. Let $G : N^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian $(n-1)$-manifold into Euclidean n-space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $F : I \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a unit speed curve in $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Then we can extend the immersion G to an immersion $I \times N^{n-1}$ into C^n given by

$$F \otimes G : I \times N^{n-1} \to \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $(F \otimes G)(s,p) = F(s) \otimes G(p)$ for $s \in I$ and $p \in N^{n-1}$. We call this extension of G a *complex extensor* of G (or of the submanifold N^{n-1}) via F.

The following theorems are used for the classification of tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms.

Theorem 4.1 ([4])**.** *Let $f : M^n \to \mathbb{C}P^n(4)$ be a Lagrangian H-umbilical immersion whose second fundamental form takes the form* (4.1)*, where $n \geq 3$. We put $k = e_1\mu/(\lambda - 2\mu)$. Then M^n satisfies $\mu(\lambda - 2\mu) \neq 0$ if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$, f is given by $\pi \circ \psi$, where $\pi : S^{2n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n(4) : w \mapsto w \cdot \mathbb{C}^*$ is the Hopf fibration and ψ is given by*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \ldots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1,$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $S^3(1)$ given by

$$z_1 = \frac{i\mu(s) - k(s)}{\sqrt{\mu(s)^2 + k(s)^2 + 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s (i\lambda(t) - i\mu(t))dt \right),$$
$$z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(s)^2 + k(s)^2 + 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu(t)dt \right).$$

Here $\mu = \mu(s)$ satisfies

$$\mu''(\lambda - 2\mu) - \mu'(\lambda' - 3\mu') + (\lambda - 2\mu)^2(-\mu^2 + \lambda\mu + 1) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.2 ([4])**.** *Let $f : M^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2(4)$ be a Lagrangian H-umbilical immersion whose second fundamental form takes the form* (4.1)*. Then M^2 satisfies $\mu(\lambda - 2\mu) \neq 0$ and integral curves of JH are geodesics in M^2 if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}P^2(4)$, f is given by the immersion described in Theorem* 4.1 *with $n = 2$.*

Theorem 4.3 ([3])**.** *Let $f : M^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a Lagrangian H-umbilical immersion whose second fundamental form takes the form* (4.1)*, where $n \geq 3$. Then M^n satisfies $\mu(\lambda - 2\mu) \neq 0$ if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}^n$, f is a complex extensor of the unit hypersphere in $\mathbb{E}^n$ via a curve $F = F(s)$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$ whose curvature function $\kappa(s)$ and argument $\theta(s)$ satisfy $\kappa(s) = \lambda(s)$ and $\theta'(s) = \mu(s)$.*

Theorem 4.4 ([3]). *Let $f : M^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a Lagrangian H-umbilical immersion whose second fundamental form takes the form* (4.1). *Then M^n satisfies $\mu(\lambda-2\mu) \neq 0$ and integral curves of JH are geodesics in M^2 if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}^2$, f is a complex extensor of the unit circle in $\mathbb{E}^2$ via a curve $F = F(s)$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$ whose curvature function $\kappa(s)$ and argument $\theta(s)$ satisfy $\kappa(s) = \lambda(s)$ and $\theta'(s) = \mu(s)$.*

Theorem 4.5 ([4]). *Let $f : M^n \to \mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$ be a Lagrangian H-umbilical immersion whose second fundamental form takes the form* (4.1), *where $n \geq 3$, and let $k = e_1\mu/(\lambda - 2\mu)$. Then M^n satisfies $\mu(\lambda - 2\mu) \neq 0$ if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}H^n(4)$, f is given by the composition $\pi \circ \psi$, where $\pi : H_1^{2n+1}(-1) \subset \mathbb{C}_1^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}H^n(-4) : w \mapsto w \cdot \mathbb{C}^*$ is the Hopf fibration and ψ is one of the following immersion:*

(1) $\mu^2 + k^2 - 1 > 0$ *and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \ldots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1,$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_1 = \frac{i\mu(s) - k(s)}{\sqrt{\mu(s)^2 + k(s)^2 - 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s (i\lambda(t) - i\mu(t))dt\right),$$
$$z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(s)^2 + k(s)^2 - 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu(t)dt\right).$$

(2) $\mu^2 + k^2 - 1 < 0$ *and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (z_1(s)y_1, \ldots, z_1(s)y_n, z_2(s)), \quad y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \cdots - y_n^2 = 1,$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_1 = \frac{i\mu(s) - k(s)}{\sqrt{1 - \mu(s)^2 - k(s)^2}} \exp\left(\int_0^s (i\lambda(t) - i\mu(t))dt\right),$$
$$z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \mu(s)^2 - k(s)^2}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu(t)dt\right).$$

(3) $\mu^2 + k^2 - 1 = 0$ *and*

$$\psi(s, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$$
$$= \exp\left[\int_0^s (k(t) + i\mu(t))dt\right]\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2 - \int_0^s (k(x) + i\mu(x))\exp\left(-\int_0^x 2k(t)dt\right)dx,\right.$$
$$\left.[-k(0) + i\mu(0)]\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2 - \int_0^s (k(x) + i\mu(x))\exp\left(-\int_0^x 2k(t)dt\right)dx\right], u_2, \ldots, u_n\right).$$

In the case of (1) *and* (2), *$\mu = \mu(s)$ satisfies*

$$\mu''(\lambda - 2\mu) - \mu'(\lambda' - 3\mu') + (\lambda - 2\mu)^2(-\mu^2 + \lambda\mu - 1) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Theorem 4.6 ([4]). *Let $f : M^2 \to \mathbb{C}H^2(-4)$ be a Lagrangian H-umbilical immersion whose second fundamental form takes the form* (4.1). *Then M^2 satisfy $\mu(\lambda - 2\mu) \neq 0$ and integral curves of JH are geodesics in M^2 if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}H^2(-4)$, f is given by one of the immersions described in Theorem* 4.5 *with $n = 2$.*

Remark 4.1. In the above theorems, $e_1 = JH/|H| = \partial/\partial s$.

Remark 4.2. For a unit speed curve $F = F(s)$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$, we put $\alpha = |F|^2$. Then the curvature $\kappa(s)$ and the argument $\theta(s)$ of $F(s)$ is given by (see [5, p.176])

$$\kappa = \frac{2 - \alpha''}{\sqrt{4\alpha - \alpha'^2}}, \quad \theta = \int \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \alpha'^2}}{2\alpha} ds. \tag{4.5}$$

By a straightforward computation, from (4.5) we obtain

$$\theta'' = (\kappa - 2\theta')(\ln |F|)'. \tag{4.6}$$

This implies that if θ' is constant and $\kappa \neq 2\theta'$, then F is a circle centered at the origin. In this case, it follows from (4.5) that $\kappa = \theta' = |F|^{-1}$.

Remark 4.3. Equation (4.3) (resp. (4.4)) is the necessary and sufficient condition for z in Theorem 4.1 (resp. in (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.5) to be a Legendre curve of curvature $\lambda(s)$ in $S^3(1)$ (resp. in $H^3_1(-1)$). These equations coincide with the equation of Gauss of M^n. Even if two Legendre curves are congruent to each other in $S^3(1)$ (resp. in $H^3_1(-1)$), two Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds constructed from them as in Theorem 4.1 (resp. in (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.5) are not necessarily congruent to each other.

5. Tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds

Let us denote by ι_r the inclusion of a round hypersphere $S^{n-1}(r)$ of radius r centered at the origin. If $x = \iota_r$ in (3.5), then $T^\perp S^{n-1}(r)$ is expressed as a complex extensor of $S^{n-1}(1)$ via $F(s) = r + si$, which is a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio 0 (see [3, Example 2.4]). Moreover, by a straightforward computation we find that it is a (non-biharmonic) tangentially biharmonic submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [18] for $n = 3$). This motivates us to classify tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms.

The main results of this paper are the following classification theorems.

Theorem 5.1. *Let M^n be a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$. Then M^n is non-minimal tangentially biharmonic if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$, M^n is locally given by one of the following:*

(1) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$z(s) = \left(\frac{i\mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + 1}} e^{-\frac{i}{\mu}s}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + 1}} e^{i\mu s} \right), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}.$$

In this case, the submanifold has ratio $(1 - \mu^{-2})$.

(2) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio 0 and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$z_1(s) = -\frac{2i\mu^2 + \mu'}{\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s -i\mu dt \right),$$
$$z_2(s) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{c\mu}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt \right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ *of*

$$\mu'^2 = -4\mu^2(\mu^2+1) + c\mu^3$$

for some positive constant c.

(3) *A Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifold of ratio* $(7-n)/3$ *and non-constant mean curvature defined by* $\pi \circ \psi$*, where* $n \neq 7$ *and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ *is a unit speed Legendre curve in* $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ *given by*

$$z_1(s) = \frac{i(1-n)\mu^2 - 3\mu'}{3\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{n+2}{n-1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\frac{4-n}{3}\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_2(s) = \frac{(1-n)}{3\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{3}{n-1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ *of*

$$\mu'^2 = -\frac{(1-n)^2}{9}\mu^2(\mu^2+1) + c\mu^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n-1}}$$

for some positive constant c.

(4) *A Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifold of ratio* $(1-n)$ *and non-constant mean curvature defined by* $\pi \circ \psi$*, where* $n \neq 2$ *and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ *is a unit speed Legendre curve in* $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ *given by*

$$z_1(s) = -\frac{i(n+1)\mu^2 + \mu'}{\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s -in\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_2(s) = -\frac{(n+1)}{\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ *of*

$$\mu'^2 = -(n+1)^2\mu^2(\mu^2+1) + c\mu^{\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}}$$

for some positive constant c.

(5) *A Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifold of non-constant mean curvature defined by* $\pi \circ \psi$*, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ *is a unit speed Legendre curve in* $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ *given by*

$$z_1 = \frac{i\mu - k}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + k^2 + 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s (i\lambda - i\mu)dt\right),$$
$$z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + k^2 + 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right).$$

Here $k(s) = \mu'(s)/(\lambda(s) - 2\mu(s))$*,* $\lambda \neq r\mu$ *for any* $r \in \mathbb{R}$*, and moreover* $\lambda = \lambda(s)$ *and* $\mu = \mu(s)$ *are non-constant solutions of*

$$\begin{cases} \mu''(\lambda - 2\mu) - \mu'(\lambda' - 3\mu') + (\lambda - 2\mu)^2(-\mu^2 + \lambda\mu + 1) = 0, \\ (3\lambda + (n-1)\mu)(\lambda - 2\mu)\lambda' + (n-1)\lambda(3\lambda + (n-5)\mu)\mu' = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\iota : S^{n-1}(1) \to \mathbb{E}^n$ be the inclusion of the unit hypersphere centered at the origin. For a unit speed curve $F = F(s)$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$, let $\kappa(s)$ and $\theta(s)$ be the curvature function and the argument of F, respectively. Then we have

Theorem 5.2. *Let M^n be a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then M^n is non-minimal tangentially biharmonic if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}^n$, M^n is locally given by one of the following:*

(1) *A Lagrangian cylinder over a circle:*

$$(a\exp(is/a), u_2, \dots, u_n), \quad a > 0.$$

(2) *A complex extensor $F \otimes \iota$, where F is a circle centered at the origin.*

(3) *A complex extensor $F \otimes \iota$, F is a line which does not pass through the origin.*

(4) *A complex extensor $F \otimes \iota$, where F is a unit speed curve satisfying*

$$\kappa(s) = ((7-n)/3)\theta'(s), \quad n \neq 7, \quad \theta''(s) \neq 0.$$

(5) *A complex extensor $F \otimes \iota$, where F is a unit speed curve satisfying*

$$\kappa(s) = (1-n)\theta'(s), \quad n \neq 2, \quad \theta''(s) \neq 0.$$

(6) *A complex extensor $F \otimes \iota$, where F is a unit speed curve satisfying $\kappa \neq r\theta'$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$\kappa'(3\kappa + (n-1)\theta') + (n-1)(\ln|F|)'\kappa(3\kappa + (n-5)\theta') = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Submanifolds of types (2), (3), (4) *and* (5) *are of ratio* 1, 0, $(7-n)/3$ *and* $(1-n)$ *respectively.*

Theorem 5.3. *Let M^n be a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of $\mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$. Then M^n is non-minimal tangentially biharmonic if and only if up to rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$, M^n is locally given by one of the following:*

(1) *A flat Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio* 2 *and constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{e^{is}}{2}\left(1 - is + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^{n} u_j^2, s + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=2}^{n} u_j^2, u_2, \dots, n_n\right).$$

(2) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \phi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z(s) = \left(\frac{i\mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}} e^{\frac{i}{\mu}s}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}} e^{i\mu s}\right), \quad \mu^2 - 1 > 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}.$$

In this case, the submanifold has ratio $(1 + u^{-2})$.

(3) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio* 0 *and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \phi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \dots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_1(s) = -\frac{2i\mu^2 + \mu'}{\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s -i\mu dt\right),$$

$$z_2(s) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{c\mu}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ *of*

$$\mu'^2 = -4\mu^2(\mu^2 - 1) + c\mu^3$$

for some positive constant c.

(4) *A Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifold of ratio* $(7-n)/3$ *and non-constant mean curvature defined by* $\pi \circ \phi$*, where* $n \neq 7$ *and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \ldots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ *is a unit speed Legendre curve in* $H_1^3(-1)$ *given by*

$$z_1(s) = \frac{i(1-n)\mu^2 - 3\mu'}{3\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{n+2}{n-1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\frac{4-n}{3}\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_2(s) = \frac{(1-n)}{3\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{3}{n-1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ *of*

$$\mu'^2 = -\frac{(1-n)^2}{9}\mu^2(\mu^2 - 1) + c\mu^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n-1}}$$

for some positive constant c.

(5) *A Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifold of ratio* $(1-n)$ *and non-constant mean curvature defined by* $\pi \circ \phi$*, where* $n \neq 2$ *and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \ldots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ *is a unit speed Legendre curve in* $H_1^3(-1)$ *given by*

$$z_1(s) = -\frac{i(n+1)\mu^2 + \mu'}{\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s -in\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_2(s) = -\frac{(n+1)}{\sqrt{c}\mu^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ *of*

$$\mu'^2 = -(n+1)^2\mu^2(\mu^2 - 1) + c\mu^{\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}}$$

for some positive constant c.

(6) *A Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifold of non-constant mean curvature defined by* $\pi \circ \psi$*, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (z_1(s), z_2(s)y_1, \ldots, z_2(s)y_n), \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ *is a unit speed Legendre curve in* $H_1^3(-1)$ *given by*

$$z_1 = \frac{i\mu - k}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + k^2 - 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s (i\lambda - i\mu)dt\right),$$
$$z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + k^2 - 1}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right).$$

Here $k(s) = \mu'(s)/(\lambda(s) - 2\mu(s))$*,* $\mu^2 + k^2 - 1 > 0$*,* $\lambda \neq r\mu$ *for any* $r \in \mathbb{R}$*, and moreover* $\lambda = \lambda(s)$ *and* $\mu = \mu(s)$ *are non-constant solutions of*

$$\begin{cases} \mu''(\lambda - 2\mu) - \mu'(\lambda' - 3\mu') + (\lambda - 2\mu)^2(-\mu^2 + \lambda\mu - 1) = 0, \\ (3\lambda + (n-1)\mu)(\lambda - 2\mu)\lambda' + (n-1)\lambda(3\lambda + (n-5)\mu)\mu' = 0. \end{cases}$$

(7) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s)y_1, \dots, z_1(s)y_n, z_2(s)), \quad y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \cdots - y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z(s) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} e^{i\mu s}, \frac{i\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} e^{\frac{i}{\mu}s}\right), \quad 1 - \mu^2 > 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}.$$

In this case, the submanifold has ratio $(1 + \mu^{-2})$.

(8) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio 0 and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s)y_1, \dots, z_1(s)y_n, z_2(s)), \quad y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \cdots - y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_1(s) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-c\mu}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_2(s) = -\frac{2i\mu^2 + \mu'}{\sqrt{-c}\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s -i\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ of

$$\mu'^2 = -4\mu^2(\mu^2 - 1) + c\mu^3$$

for some negative constant c.

(9) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of ratio $(7 - n)/3$ and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where $n \neq 7$ and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s)y_1, \dots, z_1(s)y_n, z_2(s)), \quad y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \cdots - y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_2(s) = \frac{(1-n)}{3\sqrt{-c}\mu^{\frac{3}{n-1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_1(s) = \frac{i(1-n)\mu^2 - 3\mu'}{3\sqrt{-c}\mu^{\frac{n+2}{n-1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\frac{4-n}{3}\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ of

$$\mu'^2 = -\frac{(1-n)^2}{9}\mu^2(\mu^2 - 1) + c\mu^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n-1}}$$

for some negative constant c.

(10) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of ratio $(1 - n)$ and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where $n \neq 2$ and*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s)y_1, \dots, z_1(s)y_n, z_2(s)), \quad y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \cdots - y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_1(s) = -\frac{(n+1)}{\sqrt{-c}\mu^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s i\mu dt\right),$$
$$z_2(s) = -\frac{i(n+1)\mu^2 + \mu'}{\sqrt{-c}\mu^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}} \exp\left(\int_0^s -in\mu dt\right),$$

with a non-constant positive solution $\mu = \mu(s)$ of

$$\mu'^2 = -(n+1)^2\mu^2(\mu^2 - 1) + c\mu^{\frac{2(n+3)}{n+1}}$$

for some negative constant c.

(11) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1(s)y_1, \dots, z_1(s)y_n, z_2(s)), \quad y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \cdots - y_n^2 = 1$$

and $z = (z_1, z_2)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve in $H_1^3(-1)$ given by

$$z_1 = \frac{i\mu - k}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2-k^2}} \exp\Big(\int_0^s (i\lambda - i\mu)dt\Big),$$
$$z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2-k^2}} \exp\Big(\int_0^s i\mu dt\Big).$$

Here $k(s) = \mu'(s)/(\lambda(s) - 2\mu(s))$, $1 - \mu^2 - k^2 > 0$, $\lambda \neq r\mu$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and moreover $\lambda = \lambda(s)$ and $\mu = \mu(s)$ are non-constant solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \mu''(\lambda - 2\mu) - \mu'(\lambda' - 3\mu') + (\lambda - 2\mu)^2(-\mu^2 + \lambda\mu - 1) = 0, \\ (3\lambda + (n-1)\mu)(\lambda - 2\mu)\lambda' + (n-1)\lambda(3\lambda + (n-5)\mu)\mu' = 0. \end{cases}$$

(12) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio 0 and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where*

$$\psi(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{\sqrt{\cosh 2s}\exp[i\tan^{-1}(\tanh s)]}{2}\Big(1 + \sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2 + \operatorname{sech} 2s - i\tanh 2s,$$
$$i\sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2 + i\operatorname{sech} 2s - i + \tanh 2s, u_2, \dots, u_n\Big).$$

(13) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of ratio $(7-n)/3$ and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where $n \neq 7$ and*

$$\psi(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \cosh^{\frac{3}{n-1}}\left(\frac{n-1}{3}s\right)\exp\left[\frac{6i}{n-1}\tan^{-1}\Big(\tanh\Big(\frac{n-1}{6}s\Big)\Big)\right]$$
$$\times\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^2 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}^{\frac{6}{n-1}}\left(\frac{n-1}{3}s\right) - i\int_0^s \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{n+5}{n-1}}\left(\frac{n-1}{3}x\right)dx,$$
$$\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2 + \frac{i}{2}\operatorname{sech}^{\frac{6}{n-1}}\left(\frac{n-1}{3}s\right) - \frac{i}{2} + \int_0^s \cosh^{\frac{n+5}{n-1}}\left(\frac{n-1}{3}x\right)dx, u_2, \dots, u_n\Big).$$

(14) *A Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of ratio $(1-n)$ and non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi \circ \psi$, where $n \neq 2$ and*

$$\psi(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \cosh^{\frac{1}{n+1}}((n+1)s)\exp\left[\frac{2i}{n+1}\tan^{-1}\Big(\tanh\Big(\frac{n+1}{2}s\Big)\Big)\right]$$
$$\times\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^2 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}^{\frac{2}{n+1}}((n+1)s) - i\int_0^s \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}((n+1)x)dx,$$
$$\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2 + \frac{i}{2}\operatorname{sech}^{\frac{2}{n+1}}((n+1)s) - \frac{i}{2} + \int_0^s \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}((n+1)x)dx, u_2, \dots, u_n\Big).$$

(15) *Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of non-constant mean curvature defined by $\pi\circ\psi$, where*

$$\psi(s,u_2,\dots,u_n)=\exp\Big[\int_0^s(k+i\mu)dt\Big]\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^n u_j^2-\int_0^s(k+i\mu)\exp\Big(-\int_0^x 2kdt\Big)dx,$$
$$[-k(0)+i\mu(0)]\Big[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^n u_j-\int_0^s(k+i\mu)\exp\Big(-\int_0^x 2kdt\Big)dx\Big],u_2,\dots,u_n\Big)$$

and $k(s)=\mu'(s)/(\lambda(s)-2\mu(s))$, $\lambda\neq r\mu$ for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$, and moreover $\lambda=\lambda(s)$ and $\mu=\mu(s)$ are non-constant solutions of

$$\begin{cases}\mu'^2=(\lambda-2\mu)^2(1-\mu^2),\\ (3\lambda+(n-1)\mu)(\lambda-2\mu)\lambda'+(n-1)\lambda(3\lambda+(n-5)\mu)\mu'=0.\end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3: Let M^n be a non-minimal Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold, whose second fundamental form takes the form (4.1), in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^2(4\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon\in\{-1,0,1\}$.

We put $\omega_i^j(e_k)=\langle\nabla_{e_k}e_i,e_j\rangle$. Then by using the equation (2.5) of Codazzi, we have the following (cf. [17]):

$$e_j\lambda=(2\mu-\lambda)\omega_j^1(e_1),\quad j>1, \tag{5.2}$$
$$e_1\mu=(\lambda-2\mu)\omega_1^2(e_2)=\cdots=(\lambda-2\mu)\omega_1^n(e_n), \tag{5.3}$$
$$e_j\mu=3\mu\omega_1^j(e_1),\quad j>1, \tag{5.4}$$
$$\mu\omega_1^j(e_1)=0,\quad j>1\quad(\text{for } n\geq 3), \tag{5.5}$$
$$\mu\omega_1^2(e_2)=\cdots=\mu\omega_1^n(e_n), \tag{5.6}$$
$$\mu\omega_1^i(e_j)=0,\quad i\neq j>1,\quad(\text{for } n\geq 3), \tag{5.7}$$
$$(\lambda-2\mu)\omega_1^i(e_j)=0,\quad i\neq j>1,\quad(\text{for } n\geq 3). \tag{5.8}$$

It follows from (3.1) and (4.1) that the tension field τ is given by

$$\tau=(\lambda+(n-1)\mu)Je_1. \tag{5.9}$$

For simplicity, we denote A_{Je_j} by A_j. By using (2.3), we have

$$A_{D_{e_i}\tau}e_i=(e_i\lambda+(n-1)e_i\mu)A_1e_i+(\lambda+(n-1)\mu)\sum_{i=2}^n\omega_1^j(e_i)A_je_i. \tag{5.10}$$

The relation (2.2) implies that (4.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&A_1e_1=\lambda e_1,\\ &A_1e_j=\mu e_j,\quad A_je_1=\mu e_j,\quad A_je_j=\mu e_1,\quad j\geq 2,\\ &A_je_k=0,\quad 2\leq j\neq k\leq n.\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Combining (5.10) and (5.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n A_{D_{e_i}\tau}e_i=&\sum_{i=1}^n(e_i\lambda+(n-1)e_i\mu)A_1e_i+(\lambda+(n-1)\mu)\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=2}^n\omega_1^j(e_i)A_je_i\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^n(e_1\lambda+(n-1)e_1\mu)\lambda e_1+\sum_{i=2}^n(e_i\lambda+(n-1)e_i\mu)\mu e_i\\ &+(\lambda+(n-1)\mu)\mu\Big(\sum_{i=2}^n\omega_1^i(e_1)e_i+\sum_{i=2}^n\omega_1^i(e_i)e_1\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We substitute (5.9) and (5.12) into (3.4). Then, by decomposing (3.4) into the directions of e_1 and e_j $(j > 1)$ we obtain the following:

$$(5.13)\quad (3\lambda + (n-1)\mu)(e_1\lambda + (n-1)e_1\mu) + 2\mu(\lambda + (n-1)\mu)\sum_{i=2}^{n}\omega_1^i(e_i) = 0,$$

$$(5.14)\quad (\lambda + (n+1)\mu)(e_j\lambda + (n-1)e_j\mu) + 2\mu(\lambda + (n-1)\mu)\omega_1^j(e_1) = 0, \quad j > 1.$$

Case (A): $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$.

Equations (5.13) and (5.14) yield that λ is constant. It follows from (5.2), (5.3) and (5.8) that $\omega_1^i(e_j) = 0$ for all i, j. By the equation (2.4) of Gauss with $X = W = e_i$ $(i \neq 1)$ and $Y = Z = e_1$, we have $\epsilon = 0$. Hence we obtain case (1) of Theorem 5.2.

Case (B): $\lambda = 2\mu$ and $\mu \neq 0$.

By changing the sign of e_1 if necessary, we may assume that $\mu > 0$. By (5.2) and (5.3) we see that λ is constant. It follows from (5.5) (5.6), (5.7), (5.13) that $\omega_1^i(e_j) = 0$ for all i, j. In this case, (5.14) is satisfied automatically. Simlarly to the case of (A), the equation (2.4) of Gauss implies that $\epsilon = -1$, $\mu = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$. Therefore, by the same argument as that in the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [4] we get case (1) of Theorem 5.3.

Case (C): $\mu(\lambda - 2\mu) \neq 0$.

Similary to Case (B), we may assume that $\mu > 0$. For $n = 2$, substituting (5.2) and (5.4) into (5.14) leads to

$$(5.15)\qquad (\lambda + 5\mu)\omega_1^2(e_1) = 0.$$

Combining (5.2), (5.4) and (5.15) yields $\omega_1^2(e_1) = e_2\lambda = e_2\mu = 0$, i.e., the integral curves of JH are geodesics in M^2. Thus we can apply Theorem 4.2, 4.4 and 4.6. For $n \geq 3$, by (5.5), (5.2) and (5.4) we have $\omega_1^i(e_1) = e_i\lambda = e_i\mu = 0$ for all $i > 1$.

Therefore, in both cases, (5.14) is satisfied automatically and hence the tangentially biharmonic condition (3.4) is equivalent to (5.13). By using (5.3), we see that (5.13) can be written as

$$(5.16)\qquad (3\lambda + (n-1)\mu)(\lambda - 2\mu)e_1\lambda + (n-1)\lambda(3\lambda + (n-5)\mu)e_1\mu = 0.$$

We put $k = e_1\mu/(\lambda - 2u)$. Then by combining (5.3) and the equation (2.4) of Gauss with $X = W = e_i$ $(i \neq 1)$ and $Y = Z = e_1$, we have

$$(5.17)\qquad -e_1k - k^2 = \epsilon + \lambda\mu - \mu^2.$$

Case (C.1): μ is constant.

In this case, $k = 0$ and hence (5.17) leads to

$$(5.18)\qquad \epsilon + \lambda\mu - \mu^2 = 0,$$

which implies that λ is also constant and M^n has the ratio $(1 - \epsilon\mu^{-2})$. For $\epsilon = 1$, applying Theorem 4.1 and 4.2 gives case (1) of Theorem 5.1. If $\epsilon = -1$, then (5.18) and the condition $\lambda \neq 2\mu$ yield $\mu \neq 1$. Therefore, by (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, we get cases (2) and (7) of Theorem 5.3. For $\epsilon = 0$, applying Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.2, we obtain case (2) of Theorem 5.2.

Case (C.2): μ is non-constant and $\lambda = r\mu$ for some constant $r \neq 2$.

In this case, we have

$$(5.19)\qquad k = (\ln|\mu|)'/(r-2).$$

By (5.16) we get $r(r + n - 1)(3r + n - 7) = 0$, which implies

$$(5.20)\qquad r \in \{0, 1-n, (7-n)/3\}.$$

Note that if $n=2$, then $r\neq -1$ because M^2 is a non-minimal surface.

For $\epsilon=1$, solving (4.3) for μ' gives

$$\mu'^2=-(r-2)^2\mu^2(\mu^2+1)+c\mu^{\frac{2(r-3)}{r-2}}. \tag{5.21}$$

It follows from (5.19) and (5.21) that

$$(r-2)^2\mu^2(\mu^2+k^2+1)=c\mu^{\frac{2(r-3)}{r-2}},$$

which yields $c>0$. Substituting (5.19) into (z_1,z_2) in Theorem 4.1, using (5.20) and (5.21), we get cases (2)-(4) of Theorem 5.1.

For $\epsilon=-1$ and $\mu^2+k^2-1\neq 0$, solving (4.4) for μ' gives

$$\mu'^2=(r-2)^2\mu^2(1-\mu^2)+c\mu^{\frac{2(r-3)}{r-2}}. \tag{5.22}$$

This implies that

$$(r-2)^2\mu^2(\mu^2+k^2-1)=c\mu^{\frac{2(r-3)}{r-2}},$$

and hence the sign of c coincides with the one of μ^2+k^2-1. Substituting (5.19) into (z_1,z_2) in (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.5, using (5.20) and (5.22), we obtain cases (3)-(5) of Theorem 5.3 for $c>0$, and (8)-(10) of Theorem 5.3 for $c<0$.

For $\epsilon=-1$ and $\mu^2+k^2-1=0$, by (5.19) we have

$$\mu'^2=(r-2)^2\mu^2(1-\mu^2). \tag{5.23}$$

By solving (5.23) and using (5.19), we get

$$\mu=\operatorname{sech}((r-2)s+c),\quad k=-\tanh((r-2)s+c) \tag{5.24}$$

for some constant c. Substituting them into ψ of (3) of Theorem 4.5, after suitable coordinate transformation, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(s,u_2,\dots,u_n)=&\cosh^{-\frac{1}{r-2}}((r-2)s)\exp\left[\frac{2i}{r-2}\tan^{-1}\left(\tanh\left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)s\right)\right]\\&\times\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}u_j^2+\frac{1}{2}\cosh^{\frac{2}{r-2}}((2-r)s)-i\int_0^s\cosh^{\frac{4-r}{r-2}}((r-2)x)dx,\right.\\&\left.\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=2}^{n}u_j^2+\frac{i}{2}\cosh^{\frac{2}{r-2}}((2-r)s)-\frac{i}{2}+\int_0^s\cosh^{\frac{4-r}{r-2}}((r-2)x)dx,u_2,\dots,u_n\right).\end{aligned}$$

This expression and (5.20) give cases (12)-(14) of Theorem 5.3.

For $\epsilon=0$, by Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4 and (5.20) we obtain cases (3)-(5) of Theorem 5.2.

Case (C.3): μ is non-constant and $\lambda\neq r\mu$ for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$.

For $\epsilon=1$, by Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and (5.16) we get case (5) of Theorem 5.1. If $\epsilon=0$, then it follows from $\lambda=\kappa$, $\mu=\theta'$ and (4.6) that (5.16) is equivalent to (5.1). Hence, case (6) of Theorem 5.2 is obtained. For $\epsilon=-1$, by using Theorem 4.5, Theorem 4.6 and (5.23) we obtain cases (6), (11) and (15) of Theorem 5.3.

The converse can be verified by a straightforward computation. ∎

A submanifold M is said to be *isotropic* if at each point $p\in M$, $||h(v,v)||^2$ is independent of the unit vector $v\in T_pM$ (see [15]). Let M^n be a non-minimal Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ with $n\geq 3$. Then, M^n is isotropic if and only if M^n is a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of ratio -1 (see [13] and [19]). By applying Theorem 5.1-5.3, we have

Corollary 5.1. *A complex space form $\tilde{M}^n(4\epsilon)$ with $n \geq 3$ admits isotropic tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian submanifolds with non-constant mean curvature if and only if $n = 10$.*

Remark 5.1. As we have seen in Section 4, non-minimal twistor holomorphic Lagrangian surfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and the Whitney's sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of ratio 3. Thus Theorem 5.1 and 5.2 show that these submanifolds are not tangentially biharmonic.

Remark 5.2. The submanifold described in (1) of Theorem 5.1 with

$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{n + 5 \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 6n + 25}}{2n}}$$

is the only non-minimal biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold with constant mean curvature in complex space forms (cf. [17, Theorem 7 and Remark 9]).

Remark 5.3. Each differential equation for λ and μ described in Theorem 5.1 and 5.3 can be transformed into an autonomous system. Also, by (4.5), the differential equation described in (6) of Theorem 5.2 can be rewritten as the third-order differential equation for $\alpha(s)$, and moreover, it can be transformed into an autonomous system. Therefore, by applying Picard's existence theorem for autonomous systems, we see that there exist infinity many tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds of Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.3 and of type (6) of Theorem 5.2.

Remark 5.4. By an argument similar to that in [5, Remark 1 and 4], a curve described in (4) and (5) of Theorem 5.2 exists for any $n \geq 2$.

References

[1] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, P. Piu, *Surfaces in three-dimensional space forms with divergence-free stress-energy tensor,* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **193** (2014), 529-550.

[2] I. Castro and F. Urbano, *Twistor holomorphic Lagrangian surfaces in complex projective and hyperbolic planes*, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. **13** (1995), 59-67.

[3] B.-Y. Chen, *Complex extensors and Lagrangian submanifolds in complex Euclidean spaces,* Tohoku Math. J. **49** (1997), 277–297.

[4] B.-Y. Chen, *Interaction of Legendre curves and Lagrangian submanifolds,* Israel J. Math. **99** (1997), 69–108.

[5] B.-Y. Chen, *Classification of a family of Hamiltonian-stationary Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$,* Proc. Japan Acad. **82**, Ser. A (2006), 173-178.

[6] B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen, J. Van der Veken and L. Vrancken, *Curvature inequalities for Lagrangian submanifolds: the final solution,* Diff. Geom. Appl. **31** (2013), 808-819.

[7] B.-Y. Chen and K. Ogiue, *Two theorems on Kaehler manifolds,* Michigan Math. J. **21** (1974), 225-229.

[8] B.-Y. Chen, A. Prieto-Martin, X. Wang, *Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms satisfying an improved equality involving $\delta(2,2)$*, arXiv:1307.3968

[9] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109-160.

[10] D. Fetcu, E. Loubeau, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^n$*, Math. Z. **266** (2010), 505-531.

[11] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson,*Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47-157.

[12] G. Y. Jiang, 2-*harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas* (Chinese), Chinese Ann. Math. A **7** (1986), 389–402.

[13] H. Li and X. Wang, *Isotropic Lagrangian submanifolds in complex Euclidean space and complex hyperbolic space,* Result. Math. **56** (2009), 387-403.

[14] E. Loubeau and S. Montaldo, *Biminimal immersions,* Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **51** (2008), 421-437.

[15] B. O'Neill, *Isotropic and Kähler immersions,* Canad. J. Math. **17** (1965), 905-915.
[16] Th. Hasanis and Th. Vlachos, *Hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^4$ *with harmonic mean curvature vector field,* Math. Nachr. **172** (1995), 145-169.
[17] T. Sasahara, *Biminimal Lagrangian* H*-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms,* Geom. Dedicata. **160** (2012), 185-193.
[18] T. Sasahara, *Surfaces in Euclidean* 3*-space whose normal bundles are tangentially biharmonic,* Arch. Math. **99** (2012), 281-287.
[19] L. Vrancken, *Some remarks on isotropic submanifolds,* Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), N.S. **51 (65)** (1992), 94-100.

Division of Mathematics, Center for Liberal Arts and Sciences, Hachinohe Institute of Technology, Hachinohe, Aomori, 031-8501, Japan
E-mail address: sasahara@hi-tech.ac.jp